Open Access

Check for updates

International Journal of Medical Science and Dental Health (ISSN: 2454-4191)
Volume 11, Issue 08, August 2025,
Doi: https://doi.org/10.55640/ijmsdh-11-08-01

Artificial Intelligence: Clinical Applications in Medical Field

Al-Taie Raflaa Hilmi
University of Babylon, Babylon, Iraq

Mushtaq Talib Mahdi
University of Babylon, Babylon, Iraq

Ali A. Al-fahham
Faculty of Nursing, University of Kufa, Iraq

Corresponding Author: - Ali A. Al-fahham

Received: 15 July 2025, **accepted:** 29 July 2025, **Published Date:** 06 August 2025

Abstract

Artificial intelligence is proven to be the technology that is changing the 21st century across many fields, including healthcare, finance, and education. The journey of AI has had many glorious footsteps leading to its current status and future potential. AI is gradually taking over how things are done in real life, such as the provision of accurate diagnostics, personalizing treatments, and making administrative workflows efficient. Currently, surgical Artificial Intelligence (AI) is in the fast lane of evolution with innovations in machine learning (ML), analytics, and robotics. In this review, we touch on the overall development of AI, its historical background, and the most recent advances that have appeared in this area while also summarizing the most recent research findings on its clinical application for diagnosis and treatment support in different medical fields, including diagnostics, imaging, decision-making during surgery, and caring for the patients as well as locating the knowledge gaps and suggesting future research directions.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence, Medical Field, Diagnosis, Treatment

Introduction

AI can be broadly defined as "the ability of a system to correctly interpret external data, to learn from these data, and to use those learnings to achieve specific goals and tasks through flexible adaptation" (Haenlein & Kaplan, 2019). In the given definition of AI, two basic capabilities of artificial intelligence, namely data interpretation and learning, serve as the essence of any further possible manifestations of its functions in different spheres.

The history of AI has gone through several important phases. The very first AI research dates back to the symbolic and rule-based systems of the mid-20th century. The actual term "Artificial Intelligence" was created in 1956 during a conference at Dartmouth College. Pioneers of the field, including John McCarthy and Marvin Minsky, outlined much of what subsequent research would follow. This laid the foundation for the development of early algorithms and the investigation of the principles of machine learning. It was the period of the development of early algorithms and principles of machine learning (Jiang et al., 2022).

In the next few decades, the field of AI went through a lot of ups and downs, especially during what was termed as the "AI winter," because there was no more money or interest as expectations were not met. Only in the late 1990s and early 2000s did AI research and applications pick up again, riding on ever more powerful computational power and ever more available data, plus better algorithms (Kaul et al., 2020).

Artificial intelligence, especially the development of natural language processing and generative models, has changed the game. ChatGPT is one of the big steps forward for generative AI in digital content creation and interactive applications (Wu et al., 2023). These developments have instigated quite a heated debate about the ethical considerations of using AI-generated content in academics (Lund et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the role of AI in healthcare is deep. Studies claim that AI systems are increasingly used in diagnosis, treatment design, and personalized medicine. Exemplification of operations of AI in pathology and radiotherapy may reflect how promising this technology could be in delivering accuracy and efficiency in medical practices.

While promising, AI in the various medical fields presents multiple implementation challenges. Schwendicke et al. (2020) note that applications of AI in dentistry— though having quality improvement and access as goals— actually face huge barriers at the implementation level, including technological readiness and acceptance by clinicians. Over the shoulders, similar wear marks should apply these high-level concerns across other domains of medicine to signal efforts needed in facilitating AI integration on a much larger scale.

Diagnostic Applications of AI

AI technology is finding increasing use in diagnosis and treatment advice. As Davenport and Kalakota (2019) note, AI applications cover areas from diagnostic support to patient engagement and administrative functions. This multifaceted approach not only optimizes clinical outcomes but also optimizes health care delivery by reducing the burden on professionals.

In specific diseases, for example, Taylor et al. (2016) proved that machine learning techniques provided much better predictive accuracy than traditional clinical decision rules did for in-hospital mortality in patients with sepsis. That would imply that artificial intelligence could improve decision support in critical care—a place for extension to many other acute conditions.

Imaging and Pathology

Deep learning techniques have brought revolution in medical imaging to satisfy clinical needs and address technical challenges. A comprehensive overview of deep learning applications across various imaging modalities is reported by Zhou et al (2020). The case studies showcase an unprecedented leap in diagnostic capabilities that resulted from using them. The authors note that even as deep learning continues to blossom with imaging, the endeavor is far from over—the challenges that come with the huge annotated dataset and how to fit these technologies into the already existing workflow of clinicians.

AI is used in the field of pathology to integrate digital pathology as well as to bring more consistency in diagnosis and efficiency in workflow. Cirillo et al. (2020) explains how AI could ensure better diagnosis, considering even the disparities concerning sex and gender biases. This only emphasizes how, in healthcare, inclusive datasets are required so that the implementation of AI is fair.

Surgical Applications

Another potential area for AI application in decision-making comes in surgical decision-making. As propounded by Alowais et al. (2023), AI may help make choices for surgery with improved accuracy and efficiency, especially in high-stakes surgical settings. It may provide evidence-based information that would help surgeons take more informed decisions, possibly reducing the incidence of surgical complications and hence improving patient outcomes.

Many pieces of research are actually in progress on AI-Optimized, enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols to achieve good surgical outcomes. Certainly, evidence reports that the application of ERAS protocols causes substantial improvements in postoperative recovery. For example, a study reported that the protocols led to reduced rates of hospital staying length and postoperative complications among colorectal surgery patients (Castiglioni et al., 2021; Helm et al., 2020). Other studies have also explicitly stated that the implementation of ERAS programs results in better clinical outcomes at significantly lower costs for different surgical disciplines (Kassahun et al., 2016). This has drawn a picture of multimodal pain treatment, especially by epidural analgesia, in ERAS pathways for improving patient recovery (Ripollés-Melchor et al., 2019; Hübner et al., 2015).

Three-dimensional printing is increasingly important for surgical planning, especially for spinal surgery. This technology can develop accuracy in procedure and personalized surgical approach; therefore, the surgeon does better at intervening (Liu et al., 2017). The intersection between artificial intelligence and 3DP might further refine surgical techniques for better patient-specific outcomes. Even with these promising advances, there are several challenges in surgical applications of AI. Literature sources worry that there is bias and unfairness in machine learning algorithms that can cause some effects on surgical decision and patient outcomes (Mehrabi et al., 2019). Also, most of the research is missing on long-term effects of integrating AI into surgery, especially in ethical matters and patient privacy.

Oncology and Treatment Personalization

AI is also changing the landscape of oncology in improving diagnostics, treatment personalization, and the discovery of new pharmaceutical agents. According to Rumsfeld et al. (2016), big data analytics have the potential to dramatically expand effective cancer care delivery but with persistent challenges. All this is a clear sign of the potential that AI holds in precision medicine—another revolution on the horizon, as Bhinder et al. (2021) mentioned.

Artificial intelligence (AI) becomes one of the major driving forces behind change in oncology. It is increasingly being viewed as a leading contributor to enhanced accuracy and efficiency of early detections, personalized treatments, and better outcomes for patients. The area that has experienced the biggest changes due to AI applications and practices is diagnostic imaging. Machine learning algorithms — deep learning particularly — have greatly enhanced the interpretation of radiologic images including CT scans, MRIs, and mammograms to a level that matches or even surpasses highly trained radiologists (Esteva et al., 2019). For example, systems developed for mammography image reading to identify breast cancer are demonstrating both high sensitivity and specificity offering detection support with reduced false negatives and positives (McKinney et al.,2020). Apart from the image, AI manages to assist pathology with the help of automated analysis of histopathological slides and returns fast and consistent results for tumor classification, grading as well as biomarker detection. Moreover, AI applications widen through genomic and molecular profiling enabling oncologists to adjust treatment strategies for specific genetic features presented by patients' tumors. This leads toward developing machine learning models that estimate therapy response through integrating multi-omics data and clinical factors within the growing initiative of precision oncology. In radiation oncology, AI applications are used in treatment planning resulting in an enhanced dose distribution concurrently minimizing doses to normal tissues. Some complex steps in the plan are being implemented towards automation which will bring about consistency and also reduce the time taken for planning.

Therefore, artificial intelligence supports chemotherapy choices by determining patient reaction and toxicity thus causing individualized medical plans. AI also facilitates drug discovery and repurposing. The major possible anticancer compounds are rapidly identified using large-scale biomedical datasets and molecular interaction predictions (Zhavoronkov et al., 2019). As regards the ongoing clinical trial, AI is being used to search for eligible participants in the trial and monitor patient outcome post-treatment resulting in better recruitment that would bring about an improvement in the efficiency of a study. From a patient management perspective, chatbots powered by assistance through which education about the disease, and monitoring symptoms can be done, therefore increasing patient engagement and satisfaction (Bibault et al., 2019). Though very advantageous, integration of AI in Oncology comes with a few challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic biases, and strong clinical validation keeping the assimilated usage over wider applications. Therefore, fairness orientates with deployment under AI technologies toward maximum benefit obtained during cancer care. As AI continues to grow, a blend of efforts from cancer doctors, tech experts, and rule-makers will be key to getting the best out of it. In the end, AI has the hope of changing cancer care by allowing quicker finding of the disease, better forecast results, and tailored care plans that greatly boost patient survival and well-being.

Conclusions

AI has great potential for all uses in the clinic in the sense of improving health care, raising diagnostic accuracy, and customizing patient treatment. An appraisal of the implementation challenges, ethical concerns, and the knowledge gaps of AI will at least provide a certain sense of direction that is needed to fully actualize its benefits in modern health care. Continued research in these areas will unlock the real potential for artificial intelligence to transform modern medicine. AI surgical applications offer the ability to truly change patient outcomes and care. While exciting results of its possible benefits in ERAS protocols, surgical robotics, and 3D printing fields have been arrived at, an extremely strong need to focus on bias, data collection, and long-term effects to unlock its full potential by further research in these areas has been revealed.

References

1. Alowais, Shuroug A., Alghamdi, Sahar S.., Alsuhebany, Nada., Alqahtani, Tariq., Alshaya, Abdulrahman I.., Almohareb, Sumaya N., Aldairem, Atheer., Alrashed, Mohammed A., Saleh, Khalid bin., Badreldin, H.., Yami, Majed S Al., Harbi, Shmeylan A. Al., & Albekairy, Abdulkareem M.. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Medical Education* , 23 . http://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z
2. Attaran, M.. (2021). The impact of 5G on the evolution of intelligent automation and industry digitization. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* , 14 , 5977 - 5993 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02521-x
3. Baxi, V.., Edwards, R.., Montalto, M.., & Saha, Saurabh. (2021). Digital pathology and artificial intelligence in translational medicine and clinical practice. *Modern Pathology* , 35 , 23 - 32 . http://doi.org/10.1038/s41379-021-00919-2
4. Bhinder, B.., Gilvary, Coryandar., Madhukar, Neel S.., & Elemento, O.. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Cancer Research and Precision Medicine.. *Cancer discovery* , 11 4 , 900-915 . http://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0090
5. Bibault, J. E., Chaix, B., Nectoux, P., Pienkowski, A., Guillemasé, A., & Brouard, B. (2019). Healthcare ex machina: Are conversational agents ready for prime time in oncology? Clinical and Translational Radiation Oncology, 16, 55–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2019.02.002
6. Cao, Yihan., Li, Siyu., Liu, Yixin., Yan, Zhiling., Dai, Yutong., Yu, Philip S.., & Sun, Lichao. (2023). A Comprehensive Survey of AI-Generated Content (AIGC): A History of Generative AI from GAN to ChatGPT. *ArXiv* , abs/2303.04226 . http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.04226
7. Castiglioni, I.., Rundo, L.., Codari, M.., Leo, G. Di., Salvatore, C.., Interlenghi, M.., Gallivanone, F.., Cozzi, A.., D'Amico, N.., & Sardanelli, F.. (2021). AI applications to medical images: From machine learning to deep learning.. Physica medica : PM : an international journal devoted to the applications of physics to medicine and biology : official journal of the Italian Association of Biomedical Physics , 83 , 9-24 . http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.006
8. Chang, H.., Jung, C.., Woo, Junwoo., Lee, Sanghun., Cho, Joonyoung., Kim, Sun Woo., & Kwak, Tae-Yeong. (2018). Artificial Intelligence in Pathology. *Journal of Pathology and Translational Medicine* , 53 , 1 - 12 . http://doi.org/10.4132/jptm.2018.12.16
9. Coudray, N., Ocampo, P. S., Sakellaropoulos, T., Narula, N., Snuderl, M., Fenyo, D., ... & Tsirigos, A. (2018). Classification and mutation prediction from non–small cell lung cancer histopathology images using deep learning. Nature Medicine, 24(10), 1559–1567. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0177-5
10. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., ... & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z
11. Haenlein, M.., & Kaplan, A.. (2019). A Brief History of Artificial Intelligence: On the Past, Present, and Future of Artificial Intelligence. California Management Review , 61 , 14 - 5 . http://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619864925
12. Helm, J.., Swiergosz, A.., Haeberle, Heather S.., Karnuta, J.., Schaffer, J.., Krebs, V.., Spitzer, A.., & Ramkumar, P.. (2020). Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence: Definitions, Applications, and Future Directions. Current Reviews in

Musculoskeletal Medicine , 13 , 69-76 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-020-09600-8

13. Hübner, M.., Blanc, C.., Roulin, D.., Winiker, M.., Gander, Sylvain., & Demartines, N.. (2015). Randomized clinical trial on epidural versus patient-controlled analgesia for laparoscopic colorectal surgery within an enhanced recovery pathway.. Annals of surgery , 261 4 , 648-53 . http://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000000838

14. Jiang, Yuchen., Li, Xiang., Luo, Hao., Yin, Shen., & Kaynak, O.. (2022). Quo vadis artificial intelligence?. *Discover Artificial Intelligence* , 2 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-022-00022-8

15. Kassahun, Y.., Yu, Bingbin., Tibebu, A. T.., Stoyanov, D.., Giannarou, S.., Metzen, J. H.., & Poorten, E. V.. (2016). Surgical robotics beyond enhanced dexterity instrumentation: a survey of machine learning techniques and their role in intelligent and autonomous surgical actions. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery , 11 , 553-568 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s11548-015-1305-z

16. Kaul, V.., Enslin, Sarah., & Gross, S.. (2020). The history of artificial intelligence in medicine.. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* . http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.040

17. King, Michael R.., & chatGPT, . (2023). A Conversation on Artificial Intelligence, Chatbots, and Plagiarism in Higher Education. *Cellular and Molecular Bioengineering* , 16 , 1-2 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s12195-022-00754-8

18. Kourou, K., Exarchos, T. P., Exarchos, K. P., Karamouzis, M. V., & Fotiadis, D. I. (2015). Machine learning applications in cancer prognosis and prediction. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal, 13, 8–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2014.11.005

19. Liu, V.., Rosas, Efren., Hwang, J.., Cain, E.., Foss-Durant, Anne M.., Clopp, Molly P., Huang, Mengfei., Lee, Derrick C., Mustille, Alex., Kipnis, P.., & Parodi, S.. (2017). Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Program Implementation in 2 Surgical Populations in an Integrated Health Care Delivery System. JAMA Surgery , 152 , e171032– . http://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2017.1032

20. Lund, Brady D.., Wang, Ting., Mannuru, Nishith Reddy., Nie, Bing., Shimray, S.., & Wang, Ziang. (2023). ChatGPT and a new academic reality: Artificial Intelligence-written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* , 74 , 570 - 581 . http://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24750

21. McKinney, S. M., Sieniek, M., Godbole, V., Godwin, J., Antropova, N., Ashrafian, H., ... & Suleyman, M. (2020). International evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer screening. Nature, 577(7788), 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1799-6

22. Mehrabi, Ninareh., Morstatter, Fred., Saxena, N.., Lerman, Kristina., & Galstyan, A.. (2019). A Survey on Bias and Fairness in Machine Learning. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) , 54 , 1 - 35 . http://doi.org/10.1145/3457607

23. Nassif, H., Badran, H., & Hassan, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence in radiation oncology: Opportunities, challenges, and implications for clinical practice. Frontiers in Oncology, 12, 832620. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.832620

24. Ripollés-Melchor, J.., Ramírez-Rodríguez, J.., Casans-Francés, R.., Aldecoa, C.., Abad-Motos, A.., Logroño-Egea, Margarita., García-Erce, J.., Camps-Cervantes, Ángels., Ferrando-Ortolá, C.., Rica, Alejandro Suarez de la., Cuéllar-Martínez, A.., Marmaña-Mezquita, Sandra., Abad-Gurumeta, A.., & Calvo-Vecino, J. M.. (2019). Association Between Use of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocol and Postoperative Complications in Colorectal Surgery: The Postoperative Outcomes Within Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocol (POWER) Study.. JAMA surgery . http://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2019.0995

25. Roh, Yuji., Heo, Geon., & Whang, Steven Euijong. (2018). A Survey on Data Collection for Machine Learning: A Big Data - AI Integration Perspective. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering , 33 , 1328-1347 . http://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2019.2946162

26. Rumsfeld, J.., Joynt, Karen E.., & Maddox, T.. (2016). Big data analytics to improve cardiovascular care: promise and challenges. *Nature Reviews Cardiology* , 13 , 350-359 . http://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2016.42

27. Sarker, Iqbal H.. (2021). Machine Learning: Algorithms, Real-World Applications and Research

Directions. Sn Computer Science , 2 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00592-x

28. Sarker, Iqbal H.., Khan, Asif Irshad., Abushark, Yoosef B.., & Alsolami, F.. (2022). Internet of Things (IoT) Security Intelligence: A Comprehensive Overview, Machine Learning Solutions and Research Directions. Mobile Networks and Applications , 28 , 296-312 . http://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-022-01937-3

29. Schwendicke, F.., Samek, W.., & Krois, J.. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Dentistry: Chances and Challenges. *Journal of Dental Research* , 99 , 769 - 774 . http://doi.org/10.1177/0022034520915714

30. Shih, Benjamin., Shah, Dylan S.., Li, Jinxing., Thuruthel, T. G.., Park, Yong-Lae., Iida, F.., Bao, Zhenan., Kramer-Bottiglio, Rebecca., & Tolley, M.. (2020). Electronic skins and machine learning for intelligent soft robots. Science Robotics , 5 . http://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aaz9239

31. Taecharungroj, Viriya. (2023). "What Can ChatGPT Do?" Analyzing Early Reactions to the Innovative AI Chatbot on Twitter. *Big Data Cogn. Comput.* , 7 , 35 . http://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc7010035

32. Tu, Tao., Azizi, Shekoofeh., Driess, Danny., Schaekermann, M.., Amin, Mohamed., Chang, Pi-Chuan., Carroll, Andrew., Lau, Charles., Tanno, Ryutaro., Ktena, Ira., Mustafa, B.., Chowdhery, Aakanksha., Liu, Yun., Kornblith, Simon., Fleet, David J.., Mansfield, P. A.., Prakash, Sushant., Wong, Renee C., Virmani, S.., Semturs, Christopher., Mahdavi, S. S.., Green, Bradley., Dominowska, Ewa., Arcas, B. A. Y.., Barral, J.., Webster, D.., Corrado, G.., Matias, Yossi., Singhal, K.., Florence, Peter R.., Karthikesalingam, A.., & Natarajan, Vivek. (2023). Towards Generalist Biomedical AI. *ArXiv* , abs/2307.14334 . http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.14334

33. Wang, Chunhao., Zhu, Xiaofeng., Hong, Julian C.., & Zheng, D.. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Radiotherapy Treatment Planning: Present and Future. *Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment* , 18 . http://doi.org/10.1177/1533033819873922

34. Wu, Tianyu., He, Shizhu., Liu, Jingping., Sun, Siqi., Liu, Kang., Han, Qing-Long., & Tang, Yang. (2023). A Brief Overview of ChatGPT: The History, Status Quo and Potential Future Development. *IEEE/CAA Journal* of Automatica Sinica , 10 , 1122-1136 . http://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2023.123618

35. Zhavoronkov, A., Ivanenkov, Y. A., Aliper, A., Veselov, M. S., Aladinskiy, V. A., Aladinskaya, A. V., ... & Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2019). Deep learning enables rapid identification of potent DDR1 kinase inhibitors. Nature Biotechnology, 37(9), 1038–1040. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0224-x

36. Zhou, S. K.., Greenspan, H.., Davatzikos, C.., Duncan, J.., Ginneken, B.., Madabhushi, A.., Prince, Jerry L., Rueckert, D.., & Summers, R.. (2020). A Review of Deep Learning in Medical Imaging: Imaging Traits, Technology Trends, Case Studies With Progress Highlights, and Future Promises. Proceedings of the IEEE , 109 , 820-838 . http://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2021.3054390