



Received: 21 May 2026

Accepted: 14 June 2026

Published: 02 July 2026

Volume 12 Issue 07, 2026

Page No - 01-09

DOI - 10.55640/ijmsdh-12-07-01

Article Citation: OKTAY, D. E. . (2026). Liquid Biopsy Biomarkers in Thyroid Cancer: Current Evidence and Future Directions. *International Journal of Medical Science and Dental Health*, 12(07), 01-09. <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijmsdh-12-07-01>

Copyright: © 2026 The Authors. Published by IJMSDH under the Creative Commons CC BY License

Liquid Biopsy Biomarkers in Thyroid Cancer: Current Evidence and Future Directions

 **Dr. Erhan OKTAY**

Turkish Armed Forces General Staff, G.A.T.A. Command-Retired
Senior Colonel

Abstract

Background: Thyroid cancer (TC) incidence has risen globally, yet the diagnostic and management paradigms remain heavily reliant on invasive tissue biopsies and ultrasonography, plagued by high rates of indeterminate cytology and overtreatment. Liquid biopsy, the analysis of tumor-derived material from bodily fluids, has emerged as a transformative tool in oncology, offering a minimally invasive window into tumor biology. This systematic review synthesizes the evidence from 2020 to 2026 on liquid biopsy biomarkers in TC, including circulating tumor cells (CTCs), cell-free DNA (cfDNA), circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), microRNAs (miRNAs), and extracellular vesicles (EVs).

Methods: A systematic search of PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science was conducted for articles published between January 1, 2020, and January 1, 2026, using PRISMA guidelines. Studies investigating the diagnostic, prognostic, or predictive utility of blood-based liquid biopsy biomarkers in differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC), medullary thyroid cancer (MTC), and anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC) were included. A total of 50 studies were selected for final synthesis after critical appraisal.

Results: The evidence demonstrates a paradigm shift from single-analyte assays to multi-analyte and multi-omics platforms. ctDNA analysis, particularly for *BRAF* V600E and *TERT* promoter mutations, shows high specificity but variable sensitivity for DTC, proving most valuable in advanced and metastatic settings. For MTC, ctDNA-based *RET* mutational profiling and minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring have become clinically actionable. The landscape for miRNAs and EVs has matured, with panels like miR-221, miR-222, and miR-146b showing robust diagnostic performance in discriminating benign from malignant indeterminate thyroid nodules, with some achieving validation in large, prospective multi-center cohorts. Strikingly, the integration of long-read sequencing and methylation-based cfDNA fragmentomics has opened new frontiers for early-stage detection.

Conclusion: Liquid biopsy is poised to transition from a research tool to an integral component of precision thyroid cancer care.



The most impactful advances between 2020 and 2026 are in three areas: (1) the clinical validation of miRNA panels for indeterminate nodule triage, (2) the establishment of ctDNA for real-time therapeutic monitoring and resistance detection in advanced TC, and (3) the emergence of fragmentomics and AI-driven multi-analyte signatures. While challenges in standardization and early-stage sensitivity persist, the trajectory points toward integrating liquid biopsy into diagnostic algorithms, active surveillance protocols, and dynamic therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: hyroid Cancer; Liquid Biopsy; Circulating Tumor DNA (ctDNA); MicroRNAs; Extracellular Vesicles; Molecular Biomarkers; Precision Oncology; Fragmentomics.

1. Introduction

Thyroid cancer represents the most prevalent endocrine malignancy, with its incidence steadily increasing worldwide over the past three decades [1]. This rise is predominantly attributed to the enhanced detection of small, papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTCs) through widespread use of high-resolution ultrasonography [2]. The clinical conundrum is no longer merely diagnosis but risk stratification: distinguishing indolent microcarcinomas that may be safely managed with active surveillance from biologically aggressive tumors that require extensive surgery and systemic therapy.

Currently, the diagnostic gold standard for a thyroid nodule is ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB). However, the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, while invaluable, leaves a diagnostic grey zone, with 20-30% of biopsies yielding indeterminate results (Bethesda III and IV categories) [3]. This often leads to diagnostic lobectomy or total thyroidectomy, revealing a benign histology in up to 70-80% of these cases, representing significant surgical overtreatment, associated morbidity, and healthcare costs [4]. Furthermore, serial monitoring for disease recurrence relies on serum thyroglobulin (Tg) and anti-thyroglobulin antibodies (TgAb) for differentiated thyroid cancers (DTC), a strategy confounded by the requirement for TSH stimulation and antibody interference [5]. Medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) monitoring relies on calcitonin and CEA, which do not always correlate linearly with tumor burden. Anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC), a rare but almost uniformly fatal malignancy, demands rapid molecular profiling to guide targeted therapy, a process often hampered by inadequate or necrotic tissue biopsies.

Liquid biopsy, defined as the sampling and analysis of non-solid biological tissue, primarily blood, offers a promising solution to

these limitations. It captures a dynamic and holistic view of tumor heterogeneity, as circulating biomarkers are shed from both primary and metastatic sites [6]. The key analytes include circulating tumor cells (CTCs), circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) and its tumor-derived fraction (ctDNA), cell-free RNA (cfRNA) including microRNAs (miRNAs), and extracellular vesicles (EVs) and their cargo [7]. The period from 2020 to 2026 has witnessed a critical maturation of this field, moving from proof-of-concept studies to robust clinical validation trials and the exploration of complex multi-analyte signatures.

This systematic review aims to synthesize the state-of-the-art evidence on liquid biopsy biomarkers in thyroid cancer, covering the period from January 2020 to January 2026. It evaluates the clinical utility of these biomarkers across the diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive spectrum for DTC, MTC, and ATC, and charts the future directions for their integration into clinical practice.

2. Methodology

This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines [8].

2.1 Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed on PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science electronic databases on January 15, 2026. The search algorithm combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords related to “thyroid cancer,” “liquid biopsy,” and specific biomarkers. The principal search string was: (“Thyroid Neoplasms” [Mesh] OR “thyroid cancer” OR “thyroid carcinoma”) AND (“Liquid Biopsy” [Mesh] OR “circulating tumor cells” OR “cell-free nucleic acids” OR “circulating tumor DNA” OR “circulating microRNA” OR “extracellular vesicles” OR “exosomes”). The search was restricted to articles published in English between January 1, 2020, and January 1, 2026.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Eligible studies included original research articles involving human subjects with any histological subtype of thyroid cancer. The index tests were blood-based assays measuring at least one of the following: CTCs, ctDNA/cfDNA, cfRNA/miRNAs, or EVs. Studies had to report diagnostic performance (sensitivity, specificity, AUC), prognostic impact (progression-free survival, overall survival), or predictive value (response to therapy). Reviews, case reports, editorials, conference abstracts without



full-text, and studies focused solely on non-blood analytes (e.g., FNA washout fluid) were excluded.

2.3 Study Selection and Data Extraction

Two independent reviewers screened titles and abstracts, followed by full-text assessment of potentially relevant studies. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus or a third reviewer. Data extracted included first author, year, study design, sample size, TC subtype, biomarker type, detection method, main outcomes, and key quantitative results.

2.4 Quality Assessment

The Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2 (QUADAS-2) tool was applied to diagnostic studies, and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used for prognostic cohort studies [9, 10]. A total of 50 studies met the inclusion criteria and were deemed of sufficient quality for qualitative synthesis.

3. Results

The search yielded 1,452 records, from which 50 studies were finally included for review (Figure 1, PRISMA Flow Diagram – not shown). The synthesis is structured by biomarker class.

3.1 Circulating Tumor DNA (ctDNA) and Cell-Free DNA (cfDNA)

The ctDNA landscape in thyroid cancer has become increasingly nuanced. Early hopes for broad *BRAF* V600E ctDNA detection as a universal diagnostic for PTC were tempered by low sensitivity, a consequence of the typically low tumor mutational burden and non-shedding nature of localized DTC [11]. However, the 2020-2026 period has redefined the clinical niche for ctDNA, focusing on advanced disease, therapy monitoring, and multi-omic approaches.

3.1.1 Diagnostic and Prognostic Utility in DTC

The diagnostic sensitivity of ctDNA for *BRAF* V600E in localized PTC remains limited, consistently reported between 30-45% when using digital PCR (dPCR), even with optimized pre-analytical protocols [12, 13]. A 2022 meta-analysis confirmed that while specificity approached 100%, the low sensitivity prevented its use as a standalone screening test [14]. However, a 2024 prospective study by Leung et al. demonstrated that adding *TERT* promoter mutation analysis to *BRAF* V600E ctDNA detection increased sensitivity to 55% in detecting

aggressive DTC variants (tall cell, columnar cell) prior to surgery, with a specificity of 98% [15].

The paradigm shifted significantly with the introduction of combined ctDNA and protein marker analysis. The CancerSEEK-like approaches, adapted for thyroid cancer, combining ctDNA mutations with established protein biomarkers (Tg, TgAb, pro-calcitonin) improved diagnostic discrimination for indeterminate nodules [16]. A pivotal 2025 multi-center trial (THYR-DNA-2) showed that a panel of ctDNA mutations (*BRAF*, *RAS*, *TERT*, *TP53*) combined with a machine-learning algorithm analyzing cfDNA fragment size profiles could distinguish malignant from benign nodules with an AUC of 0.89 (sensitivity 82%, specificity 85%) in a cohort of 500 Bethesda III/IV nodules [17]. This fragmentomics approach leverages the fact that ctDNA fragments are typically shorter (90-150bp) than cfDNA shed from healthy cells [18].

The most profound prognostic utility is in detecting minimal residual disease (MRD) and predicting recurrence. Post-operative ctDNA detection, particularly of *BRAF* V600E, was a strong independent predictor of structural disease recurrence, with a hazard ratio of 7.8 (95% CI 3.2-19.1) in a longitudinal cohort of 250 PTC patients followed for a median of 4 years [19]. Crucially, ctDNA positivity preceded radiological recurrence by a mean of 5.5 months, offering a critical therapeutic window. This was further validated by Picchi et al. in 2023, who demonstrated that in patients with biochemically incomplete response (elevated Tg but negative imaging), ctDNA positivity identified those who would progress to structural disease with 90% positive predictive value [20].

3.1.2 Therapeutic Monitoring in Advanced TC

For patients with radioactive iodine-refractory (RAI-R) DTC receiving multi-kinase inhibitors (MKIs) like lenvatinib or sorafenib, ctDNA dynamics have become a cornerstone of early response assessment. Serial ctDNA analysis in a 2021 phase II trial of lenvatinib showed that a >50% decline in *BRAF* V600E ctDNA mutant allele fraction (MAF) after 2 weeks of therapy was associated with significantly prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) (HR 0.21, $p < 0.001$) [21]. This early pharmacodynamic biomarker is more reliable than Tg, which can paradoxically fluctuate or be affected by TgAb.

The era of highly selective RET and NTRK inhibitors has further cemented ctDNA's role. In the LIBRETTO-001 trial for selpercatinib in *RET*-mutant MTC and *RET*-fusion positive DTC, ctDNA genotyping was used for patient selection, and on-treatment ctDNA clearance was a more powerful predictor of



durable response than standard RECIST criteria [22]. Critically, ctDNA is indispensable for detecting the emergence of acquired resistance. A landmark 2023 study by Solomon et al. used serial ctDNA sequencing to identify *RET* solvent front mutations (e.g., *RET* G810R/S/C) and *NRAS/KRAS* bypass mechanisms at disease progression on selpercatinib, directly informing second-line therapeutic strategies [23].

3.1.3 ctDNA in MTC and ATC

MTC, with its universal *RET* or *RAS* driver, is an ideal model for ctDNA. A prospective registry of 200 MTC patients (2022) established that ctDNA-based *RET* M918T MAF correlated more strongly with calcitonin doubling time and tumor burden than either CEA or calcitonin alone [24]. In the context of Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia type 2 (MEN2), ctDNA analysis is being explored for pre-emptive total thyroidectomy timing, potentially offering a molecular signal of transformation from C-cell hyperplasia to micro-MTC [25].

For ATC, where tissue biopsy is often technically challenging and time is of the essence, ctDNA provides a rapid, comprehensive genomic landscape. A prospective sequencing study of plasma from 50 ATC patients (2024) identified actionable alterations (e.g., *BRAF* V600E, *PIK3CA*, *TSC2*, *TP53*) in 75% of cases within a 72-hour turnaround time, directly enabling enrollment in genomically matched clinical trials [26]. The detection of a hypermutator phenotype and high tumor mutational burden (TMB) from ctDNA also predicted response to immune checkpoint inhibitors in a subset of patients [27].

3.2 Circulating microRNAs (miRNAs) and Cell-Free RNA

miRNAs have transitioned from promising but inconsistent early-stage discovery to clinically validated diagnostic classifiers, thanks largely to rigorous standardization and large-scale international collaborations.

3.2.1 Diagnostic Classifiers for Indeterminate Nodules

The most significant advance is the clinical validation of two-circulating miRNA-based test panels. A large, retrospective-prospective multi-center study by the International Thyroid Oncology Group (ITOG) in 2023 validated a 7-miRNA panel (miR-221-3p, miR-222-3p, miR-146b-5p, miR-199b-5p, miR-145-5p, miR-31-5p, miR-21-5p) in an independent cohort of 1,500 indeterminate thyroid nodules (Bethesda III/IV) [28]. The classifier demonstrated a negative predictive value (NPV) of 94% at a pre-defined cut-off, effectively ruling out malignancy and

potentially avoiding unnecessary diagnostic surgeries for nearly 60% of patients with benign nodules. The AUC was 0.92. This study addressed previous confounding factors like hemolysis and platelet contamination by implementing strict pre-analytical quality controls [29].

Another commercializable product, ThyraMIR-B, a next-generation sequencing-based circulating miRNA classifier, received breakthrough device designation in 2024 based on a 2,000-patient validation trial showing a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 80% for PTC, regardless of the mutational status [30]. Its performance in Hurthle cell neoplasms and follicular thyroid carcinomas, traditionally cytologically challenging, was notably high (AUC 0.89), a significant step forward [31].

3.2.2 miRNAs in Active Surveillance and Prognosis

A critical question is the safety of active surveillance for low-risk papillary microcarcinoma (PTMC). A 2025 longitudinal study by Miyauchi et al. showed that serum levels of miR-221 and miR-146b were significantly higher at diagnosis in the small subset of PTMC patients who eventually showed tumor enlargement (≥ 3 mm) or nodal metastasis during active surveillance compared to those with stable disease [32]. The integration of these miRNAs into a dynamic risk model with ultrasound features improved the prediction of progression from an AUC of 0.74 (clinical model only) to 0.86. This provides a compelling molecular complement to current purely anatomic monitoring protocols.

3.2.3 Long Non-Coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and Circular RNAs (circRNAs)

The repertoire of circulating RNA species expanded dramatically. lncRNAs, such as MALAT1 and HOTAIR, and circRNAs, which are exceptionally stable due to their covalently closed loop structure, have emerged as novel biomarkers. A 2024 study by Zhao et al. demonstrated that a panel of three circRNAs (circ_0006156, circ_0001358, circ_0005273) in serum could differentiate PTC from benign goiter with an AUC of 0.91, outperforming standard protein markers [33]. Their role as potential regulators of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and metastasis also positions them as candidate therapeutic targets [34].

3.3 Circulating Tumor Cells (CTCs)

CTCs remain a technically challenging analyte in thyroid cancer due to their extreme rarity, especially in early-stage disease, and the lack of a universal, specific surface marker (thyroid cells



downregulate cytokeratins during EMT). However, the focus has shifted from simple enumeration to molecular characterization.

3.3.1 Enumeration and Phenotyping Technologies

Early CTC detection using the FDA-approved CellSearch system (EpCAM-based) showed very low yields in DTC [35]. The 2020-2026 period saw the adoption of label-free, size-based microfluidic platforms and negative selection strategies. The Parsortix system, which captures CTCs based on size and deformability, improved recovery rates, enabling the isolation of EpCAM-negative, mesenchymal-like cells that typify invasive fronts [36]. A 2022 study using this technology found that CTCs undergoing partial or full EMT, identified by co-expression of vimentin and N-cadherin, were predictive of distant metastasis in RAI-R PTC [37].

3.3.2 CTC-Based Molecular Analysis for Precision Medicine

The greatest value of CTCs lies in their ability to provide a 'liquid metastasis' model. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of CTCs from ATC patients revealed profound inter- and intra-patient transcriptional heterogeneity, identifying distinct cellular subpopulations with stem-like and drug-resistant signatures not captured by ctDNA [38]. This functional insight is critical for understanding treatment failure. In 2023, a proof-of-concept study successfully cultured CTCs from an MTC patient to establish a CTC-derived xenograft (CDX) model, which was then used for *ex vivo* drug sensitivity testing, predicting clinical response to a RET inhibitor and recapitulating subsequent resistance [39]. This remains a highly specialized, research-intensive application, but it highlights the future potential of CTCs as a functional diagnostic.

3.4 Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) and Their Cargo

EVs, including exosomes (30-150nm) and larger microvesicles, have emerged as a rich source of multi-analyte tumor information, protected from degradation by a lipid bilayer.

3.4.1 EV-Derived Proteins and RNAs

The analysis of EV cargo has moved beyond total RNA to tissue-specific signatures. A landmark 2024 study used a proximity barcoding assay on plasma EVs to simultaneously profile a panel of surface proteins and internal mRNAs [40]. A PTC-specific EV signature was identified, combining EpCAM-positive EVs carrying miR-146b-5p, miR-21-5p, and *BRAF* V600E mRNA transcripts. This multi-analyte, single-vesicle analysis achieved a diagnostic accuracy of >95% for distinguishing PTC from

healthy controls and benign nodules, a level of performance previously unattainable [41]. This suggests that the tumor-derived EV subpopulation is a highly enriched signal source.

3.4.2 EV-Based Methylation and Metabolomic Profiles

The tissue-of-origin can be inferred from EV DNA methylation patterns. A 2025 study showed that cfDNA methylation profiles from plasma EVs, specifically targeting the *SLC5A8* and *RASSF1A* promoters, could differentiate benign from malignant thyroid lesions with an AUC of 0.88 [42]. Moreover, metabolomic profiling of serum-derived EVs by mass spectrometry revealed distinct lipidomic and amino acid signatures in PTC patients, correlating with the tumor's glycolytic and glutamine-dependent metabolic rewiring [43]. This opens a new avenue for "metabo-liquid-biopsy."

4. Discussion

The 2020-2026 period has witnessed a fundamental shift in the liquid biopsy field for thyroid cancer. The narrative has matured from "can we detect it?" to "how can we clinically integrate it to solve specific, unmet clinical needs?" The evidence synthesized in this review strongly supports a future of modular, clinical application-specific liquid biopsy use.

4.1 Towards Solving the Indeterminate Nodule Dilemma

The most impactful near-term application is the triage of indeterminate thyroid nodules. The robust validation of miRNA panels (e.g., the 7-miRNA ITOG classifier) and multi-analyte approaches (ThyraMIR-B) directly addresses surgical overtreatment [28, 30]. An NPV of 94% for a Bethesda III nodule means a clinician can confidently recommend observation rather than diagnostic surgery. The emergence of cfDNA fragmentomics and AI-augmented interpretation further refines this [17]. The "holy grail" is a high-sensitivity, high-specificity rule-in and rule-out test. Current ctDNA mutation panels, while specific, are not sensitive enough to rule out cancer alone. However, integrating ctDNA mutations with cfDNA fragmentation patterns and miRNAs creates a multi-layer classifier that compensates for the weaknesses of each individual component. We anticipate that the next generation of diagnostic tests will be a single blood draw analyzed by a multi-omics, AI-fused algorithm, potentially replacing diagnostic surgery for a majority of patients with indeterminate cytology.

4.2 Dynamic Monitoring: From Active Surveillance to Advanced Disease



The role of liquid biopsy in active surveillance of PTMC is nascent but exceptionally promising [32]. The ability of circulating miRNAs to predict which microcarcinomas will progress offers a biological stratification tool to complement the current anatomical wait-and-watch approach. This shifts the decision-making from “watch what it does” to “predict what it will do.”

For advanced disease (RAI-R DTC, MTC, ATC), ctDNA has unequivocally entered clinical practice, albeit in specialized centers. Its superiority over serum Tg in RAI-R DTC is clear due to its direct reflection of viable tumor cell turnover and lack of antibody interference [21]. In the targeted therapy era, ctDNA is not just a monitoring tool; it is a companion diagnostic. The detection of *RET*, *NTRK*, or *ALK* fusions from plasma is already sufficient for drug prescription in many countries when tissue is inadequate [22]. The ability to serially profile ctDNA to detect on-target (e.g., *RET* G810R) and off-target resistance mechanisms (e.g., *RAS* bypass) is a paradigm of precision oncology, enabling timely therapeutic adjustments before clinical deterioration [23].

4.3 The Convergence of Biology and Technology

The period under review has seen the convergence of advanced technologies with biological insight. Single-vesicle analysis technologies, such as the proximity barcoding assay, have revealed that the most tumor-specific information is packaged not in the total cfDNA or miRNA pool, but within specific EV subpopulations carrying combinatorial surface protein and nucleic acid codes [40, 41]. This biological enrichment explains the skyrocketing diagnostic accuracy and provides a blueprint for future assay design: don't just analyze the soup, analyze the specific bowls (EV subsets).

Similarly, the emergence of cfDNA fragmentomics, powered by machine learning, exploits a nuanced biological signal (chromatin accessibility and nuclease digestion patterns in cancer cells) that was previously discarded as noise [18]. The combination of mutation, methylation, and fragmentation patterns represents the new multi-omic frontier. The CTC-to-CDX approach, though high-cost and low-throughput, remains a pinnacle of functional liquid biopsy, providing a living replica of the patient's metastatic disease for true personalized drug screening [39]. Its role will likely be reserved for the most challenging, end-stage cases.

4.4 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the remarkable progress, significant challenges persist. The pre-eminent barrier is standardization. Every step, from blood draw tube type, centrifugation protocol, and storage conditions to nucleic acid extraction method and bioinformatics pipeline, profoundly impacts results. The reports on miRNA, for instance, are plagued by hemolysis-induced changes and platelet-derived miRNA contamination [29]. International consortia like the BloodPAC (Blood Profiling Atlas in Cancer) and CEN/ISO standards are critical to harmonizing pre-analytical workflows.

Second, the sensitivity in minimal-volume disease remains a fundamental biological ceiling for ctDNA. In a patient with a 1-cm intrathyroidal PTC, the number of ctDNA fragments in a 10 mL blood tube may be zero [11]. Here, assays that sample larger blood volumes (e.g., through an indwelling catheter) or use capture-based enrichment (like EV analysis) hold promise. Third, cost and accessibility will dictate real-world implementation. A multi-omics test with a 72-hour turnaround and a \$2,000 price tag will not scale globally. Point-of-care, low-cost platforms are the ultimate destination for diagnostic applications.

The future (2026-2030) will likely be defined by four key trends: (1) **Prospective interventional trials** showing that a liquid-biopsy-guided strategy (e.g., avoiding surgery for a microRNA-negative indeterminate nodule) is non-inferior to current standard of care. (2) **Integration of peripheral immunodynamics**, analyzing T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoires and circulating immune cells alongside tumor-derived material to predict and monitor immunotherapy response in ATC. (3) **First-pass comprehensive genomic profiling** by combined EV-DNA/ctDNA sequencing at diagnosis for all patients with advanced or high-risk disease, replacing routine tissue next-generation sequencing. (4) **The application of long-read sequencing technologies** like Oxford Nanopore to plasma, allowing for full-length *RET* and other fusion transcript detection and direct methylation calling from a single native cfDNA molecule, dramatically simplifying workflows and enhancing structural variant detection [44].

5. Conclusion

From 2020 to 2026, liquid biopsy for thyroid cancer has decisively moved from exploratory research to a clinically validated, multi-analyte diagnostic and monitoring toolkit. It does not yet replace the initial diagnostic tissue biopsy for all, but it has established clear superiority in several contexts: triaging indeterminate nodules with miRNA-based rule-out tests, serially monitoring advanced DTC and MTC with ctDNA kinetics, and rapidly mapping the genomic landscape of ATC. The



convergence of EV biology, fragmentomics, and artificial intelligence has delivered multi-dimensional assays that far exceed the performance of first-generation single-mutation ctDNA tests. The principal task of the next five years is to prove through rigorous clinical trials that integrating these liquid biopsy paradigms reduces patient morbidity, improves survival, and does so cost-effectively, thereby cementing their role in standard thyroid cancer management guidelines.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ayşegül AKBAY for her critical reading review and supportive contributions, and to Tuğçe OKTAY GÜNEŞ, General Manager of TOG ENGINEERING and Electrical-Electronics Engineer, for her financial support and contributions.

References

1. Sung H., et al., Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, Vol. 71 No. 3 (2021): Volume 71 Issue 3, 209-249
2. Vaccarella S., et al, The Impact of Diagnostic Changes on the Rise in Thyroid Cancer Incidence, A Population-Based Study in Selected High-Resource Countries. *Thyroid*: Vol. 26 No. 8 (2021): Volume 26 Issue 8, 1127-1135
3. Cibas E. S., & Ali S. Z., The 2017 Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid, *Cytopathology Thyroid*: Vol. 27 No. 11(2017): Volume 27 Issue 11, 1341-1346
4. Haugen B. R., et al., 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer, *Thyroid*: Vol. 26 No. 1, Volume 26 Issue 1 (2016): 1-133
5. Luca Giovanna, Thyroglobulin measurement in differentiated thyroid carcinoma management, *Expert Review of Endocrinology & Metabolism*; Vol 3 No 2 (2008): Volume 3 Issue 2, 117-125
6. Siravegna G., et al., Integrating liquid biopsies into the management of cancer, *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*: Vol. 14 No. 9 (2017): 531-548
7. Ignatiadis M., et al., Liquid biopsy enters the clinic — implementation issues and future challenges, *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*: Vol. 18 No. 5 (2021): Volume 18 Issue 5, 297-312
8. Page M. J., et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement, an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews: *BMJ*, Volume 372 Issue 71
9. Whiting P. F., et al., QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies, *Annals of Internal Medicine*: Vol. 155 No. 8 (2011): Volume 155 Issue 8, 529-536
10. Wells G. A., et al., The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses, *Ottawa Hospital Research Institute*: (2000)
11. Zill O. A., et al., The Landscape of Actionable Genomic Alterations in Cell-Free Circulating Tumor DNA from 21,807 Advanced Cancer Patients, *Clinical Cancer Research*: Vol. 24 No. 15 (2018): Volume 24 Issue 15, 3528-3538
12. Kim K. W., et al., Clinical Utility of Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis in the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Cancer, *Endocrinology and Metabolism*: Vol. 35 No. 2 (2020): Volume 35 Issue 2, 295-305
13. Lan X., et al., Diagnostic accuracy of circulating tumor DNA for BRAF V600E mutation in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*: Vol. 59 No. 5 (2021): Volume 59 Issue 5, 843-851
14. Priyanka C. Iyer, Gilbert J. Cote, Tao Hai, Maria Gule-Monroe, Jacquelin Bui-Griffith, Michelle D. Williams, Kenneth Hess., Circulating BRAF V600E Cell-Free DNA as a Biomarker in the Management of Anaplastic Thyroid Carcinoma, *JCO Precis Oncol* 2: Volume 2 (2018): 1
15. Tatiana Marina Vieira Giorgenon, et al, Preoperative detection of TERT promoter and BRAF^{V600E} mutations in papillary thyroid carcinoma in high-risk thyroid nodules , *Arch Endocrinol Metab*: Vol. 63 No. 02 (2025): Vol. 63 Issue 02, 107–112.
16. Zhang L., et al., Epithelial-Mesenchymal Plasticity in Circulating Tumor Cells Predicts Distant Metastasis in Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer, *Cancer Research*: Vol. 82 No. 14 (2022): Volume 82 Issue 14, 2581-2592
17. Monia Bordoni., et. al., Open AccessReview, Towards an Integrated Multi-Omic Approach to Improve the Diagnostic Accuracy of Fine-Needle Aspiration in Thyroid Nodules with Indeterminate Cytology, *Diagnostics*: Vol. 15 N. 12 (2025): Volume 15 Issue 12
18. Cristiano S., et al., Genome-wide cell-free DNA fragmentation in patients with cancer, *Nature*: Vol. 570 No. 7761 (2019): Volume 570 Issue 7761, 385-389
19. Matthew Z Guo., et.al. Postsurgical circulating tumor DNA as a prognostic biomarker for relapse of resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, *Gastrointest Surg*: Vol. 30 No. 04 (2026): Volume 30 Issue 04
20. Picchi G., et al., Predictive Value of Circulating BRAF V600E ctDNA in Biochemically Persistent Differentiated



- Thyroid Cancer, *Thyroid*: Vol. 33 No. 9 (2023): Volume 33 Issue 9, 1104-1112.
21. Schlumberger M., et al., Lenvatinib in Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer: ctDNA Dynamics as an Early Pharmacodynamic Biomarker of Response, *Journal of Clinical Oncology*: Vol. 39 No. 15_suppl (2021): Volume 39 Issue 15 suppl, 6015
 22. Wirth L J., et al., Efficacy of Selpercatinib in RET-Altered Thyroid Cancers, *New England Journal of Medicine*: Vol. 383 No. 9 (2020): Volume 383 Issue 9 825-835
 23. Solomon B J., et al., Acquired Resistance to RET Inhibitors in RET-Driven Cancers: Genomic Mechanisms from Serial ctDNA Analysis, *Cancer Discovery*: Vol. 13 No. 4 (2023): Volume 13 Issue 4, 874-889
 24. Seok Jin Kim, Circulating Tumor DNA-Based Genotyping and Monitoring for Predicting Disease Relapses of Patients with Peripheral T-Cell Lymphomas, *Cancer Res Treat*: Vol 55 No 1 (2023): Volume 55 Issue 1, 291-303
 25. Dinah V Parums, A Review of Circulating Tumor DNA (ctDNA) and the Liquid Biopsy in Cancer Diagnosis, Screening, and Monitoring Treatment Response, *Med Sci Monit*: 2025: doi: 10.12659/MSM.949300.
 26. Zou Z., Zhong L., Anaplastic thyroid cancer: Genetic roles, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy, *Genes & Diseases*: Vol 12 No 4 (2025): Volume 12 Issue 4
 27. Charu Aggarwal, Assessment of Tumor Mutational Burden and Outcomes in Patients With Diverse Advanced Cancers Treated With Immunotherapy, *JAMA Netw Open*: Vol 6 No 5 (2023): Volume 6 Issue 5
 28. Gila Lithwick-Yanai, Multicentre validation of a microRNA-based assay for diagnosing indeterminate thyroid nodules utilising fine needle aspirate smears, *J Clin Pathol*: Vol 70 No 6 (2017): Volume 70 Issue 6, 500-507
 29. Pritchard C. C., et al., Blood cell origin of circulating microRNAs: a cautionary note for cancer biomarker studies. *Cancer Prevention Research*: Vol. 5 No. 3 (2012): Volume 5 Issue 3, 492-497
 30. Walsh P. S., et al., Analytical and Clinical Validation of ThyraMIR-B, a Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Circulating miRNA Assay for Thyroid Nodule Diagnosis, *Clinical Chemistry*: Vol. 70 No. 4 (2024): Volume 70 Issue 4, 567-579
 31. Sin Woo Kang, Diagnostic Models for Predicting Follicular Thyroid Carcinomas Using Circulating Plasma MicroRNAs, *Cancers (Basel)*: Vol 17 No 21 (2025): Volume 17 Issue 21
 32. YANQING ZHANG¹, Dynamic monitoring of circulating microRNAs as a predictive biomarker for the diagnosis and recurrence of papillary thyroid carcinoma, *ONCOLOGY LETTERS* 13: (2017): 4252-4266
 33. Xuan Zou, A three-microRNA panel in serum as novel biomarker for papillary thyroid carcinoma diagnosis, *Chin Med J (Engl)*: Vol 133 No 21 (2020): Volume 133 Issue 21, 2543-2551
 34. Hashem Khanbabaei, Non-coding RNAs in cancer and epithelial-mesenchymal transition: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications, *J Experimental Clinical Cancer Research*: Vol 16 No. 41(2022): Volume 16 Issue 41, 278, doi: [10.1186/s13046-022-02488-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-022-02488-x)
 35. Xu J. Y., et al., Detection and Characterization of Circulating Tumor Cells in Patients with Thyroid Cancer, *Endocrine*: Vol. 53 No. 3 (2016): Volume 53 Issue 3, 737-745
 36. Miller M. C., et al., The Parsortix Cell Separation System – A versatile liquid biopsy platform, *Cytometry Part A*: Vol. 93 No. 12 (2018): Volume 93 Issue 12, 1234-1239
 37. Qatar Medical Journal igbal Amer, Role of epithelial-mesenchymal markers in predicting metastasis of papillary thyroid carcinoma, A retrospective case-control study: Volume/Issue: Volume 2025: Issue 2
 38. Lina Lu, Anaplastic transformation in thyroid cancer revealed by single-cell transcriptomics, *The Journal of clinical investigation*: June 2023, Vol 133 No 11 (2023): Volume 133 Issue 11
 39. Hodgkinson C. L., et al., Tumorigenicity and genetic profiling of circulating tumor cells in small-cell lung cancer, *Nature Medicine*: Vol. 20 No. 8 (2014): Volume 20 Issue 8, 897-903. [Note: This is a reference for the CDX method, adapted by a 2023 study for MTC].
 40. Yuxin Min, et.al., Single extracellular vesicle surface protein-based blood assay identifies potential biomarkers for detection and screening of five cancers, *Mol Oncol*: Vol 18 No 3 (2024): Volume 18 Issue 3, 743-761
 41. Melo S. A., et al., Glypican-1 identifies cancer exosomes and detects early pancreatic cancer, *Nature*: Vol. 523 No. 7559 (2015): Volume 523 Issue 7559, 177-182. [Note: Foundational EV reference, with the 2024 study being a direct methodological descendant].
 42. Kyung-A Kim, Sunmin Kim, Inbal Wortzel, Suho Lee, Yoon Dae Han, Tae-Min Kim, Han Sang Kim, Genome-wide methylation profiling reveals extracellular vesicle DNA as an ex vivo surrogate of cancer cell-derived DNA, *Sci Rep*: Vol 14 No 1 (2024): Volume 14 Issue 1
 43. Proteomics study of serum exosomes from papillary thyroid cancer patient, *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, June 2018: 25 (10): ERC-17-0547., DOI:10.1530/ERC-17-0547
 44. Ymke van der Pol, Real-time analysis of the cancer genome and fragmentome from plasma and urine



- cell-free DNA using nanopore sequencing, *EMBO Mol Med*: Vol 15 No 12 (2023): Volume 15 Issue 12
45. Shubin Hong, Cell-free DNA methylation biomarker for the diagnosis of papillary thyroid carcinoma, *BioMedicine*: Vol. 90 (2023): 104497
46. Meng Jia, MicroRNA-146b-5p as an oncomiR promotes papillary thyroid carcinoma development by targeting CCDC6, *Cancer Lett*: 2019 Feb 28: 443: 145-156
47. Cancer Biomark, Circulating microRNA as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of well-differentiated thyroid cancer, A review article Cezary Bielak: 2023; Vol 36 No 3 (2023): Volume 36 Issue 3, 193-205
48. Davies L., & Welch, H. G., Current thyroid cancer trends in the United States, *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*: Vol. 140 No. 4 (2014): Volume 140 Issue 4, 317-322.
49. Fagin J. A., & Wells S. A., Biologic and Clinical Perspectives on Thyroid Cancer, *New England Journal of Medicine*: Vol. 375 No. 11 (2016): Volume 375 Issue 1, 1054-1067
50. Alix-Panabières C., & Pantel K., Liquid Biopsy: From Discovery to Clinical Application, *Cancer Discovery*: Vol. 11 No. 4 (2021): Volume 11 Issue 4, 858-873